WV Social Vulnerability Index (WV SVI)



West Virginia University WV GIS Technical Center

January 2024

Major Category	Detailed Category	Code	Indicator	Short Description	Unit
People/ Social Risk	Social Vulnerability	WV_SVI	WV Social Vulnerability Index	Social vulnerability index developed for West Virginia based on eight socioeconomic and demographic indicators	%

Rationale	Recommendations	Data Source
A community with a higher social vulnerability is less likely to be able to recover from a flood disaster quickly and fully. The WV Socioeconomic Index is a combination of eight social and economic indicators to measure a population's vulnerability to flood hazards. The select indicators are economic factors (Poverty Rate Unemployment Rate), population characteristics (Vulnerable Ages Rate, Disability Rate, Population without a High School Education, Population Change), and housing (Median Housing Unit Value, Mobile Homes as Percentage of Housing).	Flood disaster planning and preparedness should evaluate socioeconomic and individual factors such as age, disability, education, employment, and housing can influence the risk of flood deaths and damage loss. Source: <u>NIH</u> . A wide range of federal assistance programs for individuals and public infrastructure, including funds for both emergency and permanent work, are available for disadvantaged populations and communities.	Census Bureau's American Community Survey (ACS) 5-year estimate of 2021; Census Bureau's Decennial Census (DEC) of 2010 & 2020 (For population change)

WV Social Vulnerability Index; Top 20% Rankings

57

Beverly

80.1%

RANK	All Communities	INDEX_SC	Incorporated Communities	INDEX_SC	Unincorporated Areas	INDEX_SC	Counties	INDEX_SC	Regior	ns INDEX_SC	
1	Anawalt	100.0%	Anawalt	100.0%	McDowell County*	100.0%	McDowell County, West Virginia	100.0%	1	100%	
2	War	99.6%	War	99.6%	Wyoming County*	98.1%	Wyoming County, West Virginia	98.1%	4	90%	
3	McDowell County*	99.3%	Smithfield	99.1%	Mingo County*	96.3%	Mingo County, West Virginia	96.3%	2	80%	
4	Smithfield	98.9%	Auburn	98.7%	Webster County*	94.4%	Clay County, West Virginia	94.4%			
5	Lester	98.6%	Lester	98.2%	Clay County*	92.6%	Webster County, West Virginia	92.6%	1		
6	Auburn	98.2%	Reedy	97.8%	Braxton County*	90.7%	Calhoun County, West Virginia	90.7%	1		
7	Reedy	97.9%	Matewan	97.4%	Logan County*	88.9%	Logan County, West Virginia	88.9%	1		
8	Matewan	97.5%	Clay	96.9%	Calhoun County*	87.0%	Braxton County West Virginia	87.0%	1		
9	Wyoming County*	97.2%	Pax	96.5%	Boone County*	85.2%	Ritchie County, West Virginia	85.2%	1		
10	Mingo County*	96.8%	Delbarton	96.0%	Lincoln County*	83.3%	Lincoln County, West Virginia	83.3%	1		
11	Delbarton	96.5%	Keystone	95.6%	Roane County*	81.5%	Roane County, West Virginia	81.5%	1		
12	Clay	96.1%	Fort Gay	95.2%	noune county	01.570	House county) rest right	01.070	1		
13	Fort Gay	95.7%	Northfork	94.7%							
14	Pay	95.7%	Quipwood	9/ 3%							
15	Keystone	95.0%	lagger	94.3%							
16	Northfork	0/ 7%	Harman	02.4%							
17	Quinwood	9/ 3%	Cowen	93.4%							
18	laeger	94.0%	Richwood	92.5%							
10	Webster County*	93.6%	Flizabeth	92.5%							
20	Harman	02.2%	Camoron	01.6%							
20	Richwood	93.5%	Grantsville	91.0%							
21	Logan County*	02.5%	Gany	00.7%							
22	Cowon	92.0%		00.2%							
23	Comoron	01.00/		90.3%							
24	Calleroll	91.0%	West Hamlin	09.9%							
25	Craptovillo	91.5%	Bradshaw	09.4%							
20	Elizabeth	91.1%	Anmooro	09.0%							
2/	Oceana	90.8%	Kormit	00.3%							
20	Conhin	90.4%	Kerillit	00.1%							
29	Suprila	90.1%	Suprila	07.770							
30	Corry	09.7%	Chacapaaka	07.270							
22	Gdly Brayton County*	09.4%	Hillsboro	00.070							
32	Kormit	09.0%	Harrisville	00.3% 0F.00/							
24	lunior	00.776	Dapyillo	95 5%							
25	Calbour County*	97.0%	Sponcor	95.0%							
35	Hillsboro	87.6%	Rainelle	84.6%							
27	Gilbort	97.0%	Bramwoll	04.070 94.1%							
37	Bradshaw	86.9%	Pennshoro	83.7%							
20	Anmooro	96.5%	Cairo	03.770							
40	Snencer	86.2%	Womelsdorf (Coalton)	82.8%							
41	Chesaneako	85.8%	Dinovillo	82.0%							
42	Harrisville	85.5%	Hartford	81.9%		Со	lors:				
43	Boone County*	85.1%	Hinton	81.5%		Bla	ack> Incorporated areas				
44	Danville	84.8%	Rowleshurg	81 1%		Bro	own> Unincorporated are	as			
45	Pennshoro	84.4%	Ansted	80.6%		Gr	een> Counties (Total)	-			
46	Womelsdorf (Coalton)	84.0%	Alderson**	80.2%		Do					
47	Pineville	83.7%	Alderson	00.270	I	Re					ional Electricity
49	Hartford	83.2%				BIU	ie> incorporated commu	incres par	ucipa	ung in the Nat	Ional Flood Insu
40	Rowleshurg	83.0%				Pro	ogram (NFIP) with no regula	tory Spe	cial Flo	ood Hazard Ar	ea (SFHA)
47 50	Hinton	87.6%				Pu	rple> Incorporated comm	unities v	vith no	o regulatory Sp	ecial Flood Haz
50	Bramwoll	02.070				(SF	HA) not participating in the	Nationa	l Flood	d Insurance Pr	ogram (NFIP) (n
51	Aldercon**	81 QV/				me	entioned in the FEMA's Com	munity s	Status	Book Report)	
52	Lincoln Countu*	01.970				RI-	ack on blue: Six incorporator	d comm	inition	included in th	e detailed rick c
53	Painelle	01.0%					andon on Coulou Classic			hugad M/h	
54	Sutton	01.270				(Ca	anden-on-Gauley, Clenden	n, Kainel	ne, KIC	nwood, white	sulprior spring
55	Doux	00.9%				Ma	ariinton)				
50	Davy	ð∪.5%	1								











WV Social Vulnerability Index; Focus Communities

Vulnerability Indicators		White Sulphur Springs	Rainelle	Clendenin	Richwood	Marlinton	Camden- on-Gauley	State Ratio/Value	National Ratio/Value
	Poverty Rate	21.9%	27.7%	9.2%	26.1%	25.7%	14.3%	17.0%	12.4%
JOBLESS	Unemployment Rate	13.0%	22.1%	7.3%	39.8%	16.3%	8.3%	23.7%	14.6%
i	Vulnerable Ages Ratio	37.5%	36.0%	45.4%	43.1%	37.6%	33.0%	36.7%	34.6%
Ġ	Disability Ratio	20.5%	31.9%	11.2%	29.9%	27.6%	9.7%	19.3%	12.6%
	No High School Diploma Ratio	8.3%	15.4%	10.1%	13.2%	16.1%	4.8%	11.9%	11.1%
	Population Change Ratio	-9.1%	-20.9%	-30.4%	-19.1%	-5.3%	-25.4%	-3.2%	7.4%
Ś	Median Housing Value	\$121,000	\$59,100	\$70,300	\$68,300	\$79,700	\$73,800	\$128,800	\$244,900
	Mobile Homes Ratio	0.0%	9.3%	3.9%	7.5%	4.9%	11.1%	14.0%	5.9%
WV Social Vulnerability Index Score (Among incorporated communities)		21.1% (Relatively Low)	84.6% (Very High)	36.6% (Relatively Low)	92.5% (Very High)	60.4% (Relatively High)	27.3% (Relatively Low)	-	-

The indicator values in red show more than a 5% difference, toward vulnerability, compared to the state ratios.

Relatively High: 60% to 79.9%

Index Legend:

Moderate: 40% to 59.9%

WV Social Vulnerability Indicators; Description, Rationale, and Data Sources

Vulnerability Indicator	Description	Rationale	Data Source
Poverty Rate	Percentage of households with incomes below poverty level	The poor are less likely to have the income or assets needed to prepare for a possible disaster or to recover after it occurs (Cutter et al., 2003; Flanagan et al., 2011; Morrow, 1999; Thomas, 2017).	Census 2021 ACS 5-Year Estimates
Unemployment Rate	Percentage of families (two or more people residing together and related by birth, marriage, or adoption) with no workers in the past 12 months (from 2021)	In addition to income problems, unemployed persons lack benefit plans providing health cost assistance when injuries or deaths occur due to disasters (Brodie et al., 2006; Flanagan et al., 2011).	Census 2021 ACS 5-Year Estimates
Vulnerable Ages Ratio	Percentage of population in two groups of "younger than 15" or "65 and older"	Children and the elderly are generally more vulnerable to disasters such as flooding due to the lack of experience or physical and cognitive limitations to protect themselves (Cutter et al., 2003; Flanagan et al., 2011; Morrow, 1999).	Census 2021 ACS 5-Year Estimates
Disability Ratio	Percentage of civilian noninstitutionalized population with disabilities of independent living, self-care, ambulatory, cognitive, vision, or hearing difficulties	Disabled people are more vulnerable to natural hazards such as flooding and may require special assistance to evacuate (Cutter et al., 2003; Flanagan et al., 2011; Morrow, 1999).	Census 2021 ACS 5-Year Estimates
No High School Diploma Ratio	Percentage of population 25 years and older with no high school diploma	Highly educated individuals and societies are reported to have better preparedness and response to disasters, suffered lower negative impacts, and can recover faster (Muttarak & Lutz, 2014).	Census 2021 ACS 5-Year Estimates
Population Growth Ratio	Percentage of population change from 2010 to 2020	Although rapid population growth in dense urban areas can contribute to the risk (Cutter et al., 2003) we believe population decrease can be a factor of social vulnerability in WV communities.	Decennial Census (DEC) of 2010 & 2020
Housing Median Value	Median dollar values of owner-occupied residential units	The value can be an indicator of building quality. Buildings of low quality cannot withstand flooding adequately and are more vulnerable. Residents in communities with higher median housing values may be more likely to carry flood insurance policies, as their properties represent substantial investments. This can enhance financial preparedness and resilience (Flanagan et al., 2011; Morrow, 1999; Thieken et al., 2008).	Census 2021 ACS 5-Year Estimates
Mobile Homes Ratio	Percentage of manufactured homes in the whole community	Light-weight manufactured homes are not designed for withstanding floods and are more vulnerable to flood damage. Communities with a higher prevalence of manufactured homes often encounter more obstacles in achieving resilience, as these structures typically do not offer the same level of security as traditionally constructed homes. Moreover, these homes are often situated in regions beyond the urban core, where access to major roadways and public transit systems may be less available.	Census 2021 ACS 5-Year Estimates

WV Social Vulnerability Index; Findings

Top 10 communities with the highest social vulnerability:

WV SVI, Top 10 Communities

	· ·												
Rank	Community	County	RPDC Region	POV_RT	UNEMP_RT	V_AGE_RT	DISABL_RT	NOHSDP_RT	POP_CH_RT	MED_HU_VAL	MOBILE_H_ RT	INDEX_ SC	
INCORPORATED													
1	Anawalt	McDowell	1	25.8%	51.4%	46.8%	45.6%	64.9%	-27.0%	\$20,700	33.0%	100.0%	
2	War	McDowell	1	44.6%	68.2%	43.9%	36.1%	28.3%	-27.7%	\$35,100	15.0%	99.6%	
3	Smithfield	Wetzel	10	60.4%	70.6%	53.0%	41.0%	31.3%	-29.0%	\$90,000	8.7%	99.1%	
4	Auburn	Ritchie	5	36.0%	57.1%	35.5%	41.9%	32.5%	-18.6%	\$36,300	13.3%	98.7%	
5	Lester	Raleigh	1	56.0%	42.9%	53.2%	30.2%	22.8%	-2.9%	\$75,200	31.3%	98.2%	
6	Reedy	Roane	5	29.8%	46.2%	53.3%	29.2%	17.9%	-17.6%	\$55,800	8.8%	97.8 %	
7	Matewan	Mingo	2	38.3%	41.4%	40.7%	43.4%	19.4%	-17.4%	\$90,100	18.9%	97.4%	
8	Clay	Clay	3	63.0%	26.3%	50.3%	23.3%	23.1%	-19.3%	\$71,400	9.6%	96.9%	
9	Pax	Fayette	4	32.7%	69.7%	22.3%	43.7%	20.3%	-18.6%	\$47,100	16.7%	96.5%	
10	Delbarton	Mingo	2	30.4%	32.4%	31.3%	46.0%	28.5%	-27.1%	\$82,700	34.4%	96.0%	

Rank	Community	RPDC Region	POV_RT	UNEMP_RT	V_AGE_RT	DISABL_RT	NOHSDP_RT	POP_CH_RT	MED_HU_VAL	MOBILE_H_ RT	INDEX_ SC			
UNI	UNINCORPORATED													
1	McDowell	1	27.4%	54.6%	40.8%	28.7%	29.3%	-18.8%	\$43,700	30.6%	100.0%			
2	Wyoming	1	24.2%	40.4%	39.0%	36.6%	24.9%	-11.7%	\$75,500	31.6%	98.1%			
3	Mingo	2	27.4%	38.4%	38.3%	31.5%	25.3%	-12.5%	\$83,000	36.7%	96.3%			
4	Webster	4	20.8%	38.7%	41.1%	25.0%	20.7%	-8.3%	\$75,900	27.3%	94.4%			
5	Clay	3	23.2%	34.3%	38.2%	27.4%	19.7%	-13.9%	\$87,700	29.4%	92.6%			
6	Braxton	7	21.8%	30.8%	39.6%	20.9%	19.3%	-14.1%	\$88,700	32.1%	90.7%			
7	Logan	2	24.9%	40.1%	38.3%	31.9%	20.2%	-11.1%	\$92,100	23.1%	88.9%			
8	Calhoun	5	26.0%	35.8%	39.4%	22.2%	15.1%	-18.8%	\$103,700	28.9%	87.0%			
9	Boone	3	18.9%	37.2%	36.5%	25.2%	17.1%	-12.4%	\$80,100	31.6%	85.2%			
10	Lincoln	2	19.3%	31.7%	37.4%	27.4%	19.7%	-4.6%	\$82,900	34.0%	83.3%			

INDEX_SC: Cumulative index score for WV SVI (0% to 100%)

Socioeconomic Status: POV_RT: Poverty rate UNEMP_RT: Unemployment rate

Population Characteristics:

V_AGE_RT: Vulnerable ages ratio DISABL_RT: Disability ratio NOHSDP_RT: No high school diploma ratio POP_CH_RT: Population change rate

Housing Characteristics:

MED_HU_VAL: Median housing value MOBILE_H_RT: Percentage of mobile homes

WV Social Vulnerability Index; Findings

Top 5 counties with the highest social vulnerability:

WV SVI, Top 5 Counties

Rank	County	RPDC Region	POV_RT	UNEMP_RT	V_AGE_RT	DISABL_RT	NOHSDP_RT	POP_CH_RT	MED_HU_VAL	MOBILE_H_ RT	INDEX_ SC
1	MCDOWELL	1	27.5%	51.2%	38.5%	30.4%	25.4%	-13.6%	\$43,700	23.5%	100.0%
2	WYOMING	1	23.9%	38.5%	38.6%	35.2%	22.6%	-10.1%	\$75,500	29.2%	98.1%
3	MINGO	2	29.9%	37.2%	38.2%	32.8%	24.2%	-12.2%	\$83,000	30.7%	96.3%
4	CLAY	3	25.9%	33.8%	39.1%	27.0%	19.9%	-14.2%	\$87,700	28.0%	94.4%
5	WEBSTER	4	25.7%	36.6%	39.8%	23.2%	21.3%	-8.5%	\$75,900	23.4%	92.6%

Socioeconomic Status: POV_RT: Poverty rate UNEMP RT: Unemployment rate Population Characteristics: V_AGE_RT: Vulnerable ages ratio DISABL_RT: Disability ratio NOHSDP_RT: No high school diploma ratio

POP_CH_RT: Population change rate

Housing Characteristics:

MED_HU_VAL: Median housing value MOBILE_H_RT: Percentage of mobile homes

INDEX_SC: Cumulative index score for WV SVI (0% to 100%)

Social Vulnerability Spatial Distribution:

- Counties classified as "Very High" or "Relatively High" are mostly clustered in the Southwestern and central parts of the state.
- Counties classified as "Very Low" or "Relatively Low" are mostly clustered in the Northeastern part of the state and its panhandles.

Focus Communities Highlights:

- **Richwood** has the most critical situation in terms of *overall social vulnerability* (among the top 10%) followed by **Rainelle** (among the top 20%).
- Rainelle has the highest Poverty Rate (27.7%) and highest Disability Ratio (31.9%) among the focus communities.
- Richwood has the highest Unemployment Rate (39.8%).
- Clendenin has the highest Vulnerable Ages Ratio (45.4%).
- Marlinton has the highest *No High School Diploma Ratio* (16.1%).
- Clendenin has the highest rate of *Population Decrease* among these communities (-30.4%).
- Rainelle has the lowest *Median Housing Value* (\$59,100).
- Camden-on-Gauley has the highest *Mobile Home Percentage* (11.1%).