Statewide Flood Risk Assessment & WV Flood Tool – Community Engagement

IG

(TEIF – Total Exposure in Floodplain)

as Manufar Table

Kurt Donaldson and Eric Hopkins WV GIS Technical Center West Virginia University kdonalds@wvu.edu


May 15, 2023 WV Floodplain Manager Conference

← Devastating June 2016 Flood


WV Statewide Risk Assessment

Building Level Risk Assessment & New Flood Maps


2021 R9 Floodplain Building Inventory




2021 R4 Floodplain Building Inventory



Floodplain Building-Level Risk



Preliminary NFHL (Rainelle, WV)




Rainelle, WV

Future Building Conditions: Mapped in Floodway




Draft NFHL (Summers County)




<u>Glenray</u>

High Risk Advisory Zones

More info on High-Risk Advisory Zones



New FEMA Flood Maps – BFE Change



WV Building-Level Flood Risk Assessment

Building-Level Flood Risk Assessments support:


- Hazard Mitigation Plans
- Floodplain Management
- Community Assisted Visits
- Community Rating System

Benefits


- More detailed and accurate assessments
- Automated scripts generate outputs quickly
- Cost savings through efficiencies
- Helps multiple stakeholders
- Comprehensive Building Risk Spatial Database

Methodology

- Consistent methodology statewide
- Semi-automated workflows
- Continuous cycle to improve and update assessments



Building Inventory



Access Risk Assessment Info

Risk Information Index

Risk Assessment Information Index 1/28/2022 Data Field Descriptions										
Risk Assessment or Mitigation Layer	REPORT	Key Variable		Community Level (CL)		Building Level (BL) or Feature Level (FL)				
	Table	Gra	phic	Table	Community Extract	State Extract	Graphic	GIS		
FLOOD ZONE MAPS & STUDIES										
Flood Zone Breakdown by Length and Area		Zone Length and Area	CL	<u>Yes</u>						GIS
Active Flood Studies and Mapping			CL	Yes	<u>Yes</u>					
Model-Backed A Zones		Info Sheet	CL	<u>Yes</u>						
FLOODPLAIN BUILDING INVENTORY AND FLOUTRE MAP CONDITIONS (What at-risk structures are in floodplain?) Primary Buildings in High-Risk Effective and										
Advisory Flood plains – Future Map Conditions		Flood Zone Type		Yes	Yes	BLRA				GIS
Verified LOMA Properties Removal Status. Future SFHA Status.		SFHA Status	<u>CL</u>	<u>Yes</u>		<u>BL</u>				<u>GIS</u>
Buildings by Stream Name (Flood Source). Community and stream summaries.		Stream Name	<u>CL</u>	<u>Yes</u>		<u>BLRA</u>	<u>R</u>	Top List	<u>Yes</u>	GIS
SIGN IFCANT STRUCTURES OF IMPORTANCE										
Essential Facilities (0.2% floodplain)	<u>RPT</u>	Facility Type	<u>a</u>		<u>Yes</u>	BL EC				GIS
Community Assets	<u>RPT</u>	Facility Type	CL	Yes	<u>Yes</u>	BL CA				GIS
Historical Community Assets - National Register Areas	<u>RPT</u>	Register Area	<u>CL</u>			NRA				GIS
FLOODPLAIN BUILDING CHARACTERISTICS	RPT									
Building Exposure Dollar Value		Building Appraisal, Occupancy	<u>CL</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>Yes</u>	BLRA	High Value (Top 10%)	<u>Top 100</u>	<u>Yes</u>	GIS
Building Single Family (RES1)		Single Family RES1	<u>CL</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>BLRA</u>		<u>Top 100</u>	<u>Yes</u>	GIS
Building Manufactured Homes (RES2)		Mobile Home RES2	<u>CL</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>BLRA</u>		<u>Top 100</u>		GIS
Building Year and FIRM Status (Pre-FIRM/Post- FIRM)		Initial FIRM Date, Building Year	<u>CL</u>	<u>Yes</u>		<u>BLRA</u>				GIS
Building Median Value		Median Value	<u>CL</u>	<u>Yes</u>	Yes	<u>BLRA</u>				GIS
Building Median Year		Building Year	<u>CL</u>	<u>Yes</u>		BLRA				GIS
Foundation Type and Basement		Foundation Type				<u>BLRA</u>				GIS
FLOOD DAMAGE LOSS ESIMATES (1% FLOOD EVENT) (What is degree of Flood Risk?)										


Building Level Risk Assessment (BLRA) Products

- GIS Files
- Tables (Excel)
 - Community Level (CL)
 - Building (or Feature) Level (BL) with links to online maps
 - Table Extracts
 - Top Lists
- Maps
 - Interactive Web Maps
 - Graphics and Maps
- **Reports** (Word Docs)
- 3D Flood Visualizations

Most of the risk assessment data can be viewed on the **RiskMAP View** of the <u>WV Flood Tool</u>

2002 USACE Building Inventory

Building Inventories




Nearly 20 years ago more than **80,000 structures** in the floodplain were inventoried by the **Pittsburgh District Army Corps of Engineers** using statewide 1996-99 1-meter resolution Digital Orthophoto Quarter Quads. A combination of FEMA's Q3 and DFIRM floodplain data (available for 37 of the 55 counties) was overlaid onto DOQQ's.

<< USACE Inventoried Floodplain Structures >> http://wvgis.wvu.edu/data/dataset.php?ID=230

Statewide Building Inventories

https://www.mapwv.gov/flood/map/?wkid=102100&x=-9070843&y=4497058&l=11&v=2



2018 Aerial Imagery

Elkhorn Creek

McDowell County

2002 USACE Inventory

2021 WVU Inventory


THE SHITE

USACE 2002 Building Inventory

https://www.mapwv.gov/flood/map/?wkid=102100&x=-9069713&y=4496689&l=10&v=2 **Buyout Properties since** 2002 USACE Inventory FLOODWA ZOLLAS CONTRACTOR

Elkhorn Creek, McDowell County

Statewide Hazard Assessment



Statewide Building Inventory

.

•

Building Estimates

- 84,351 structures in SFHA
- 13,996 structures in "High-Risk" Advisory (Orange Zones)
- 354 Essential Facilities in High-Risk Flood Zones (K-12 Schools, 911 Centers, Police/Fire Stations Depts., Hospitals, Nursing Homes)
- 38 Essential Facilities in Regulatory Floodway
- 503 Essential Facilities total in both high and moderate risk floodplains

Buildings	Community	Buildings in SFHA
Pre-FIRM/Post-FIRM	Kanawha County*	8,890
	Logan County*	5,247
67% are Pre-FIRM	Mingo County*	3,393
(majority)	Boone County*	3,313
	Wheeling**	2,836
26% are Post-FIRM	Lincoln County*	2,563
	McDowell County*	2,408
7% are unknown	Raleigh County*	2,252
	Mercer County*	2,233
Based on Building Year of	Wyoming County*	2,226
assessment data	Wayne County*	2,221
	Putnam County*	1,902
	Cabell County*	1,887
	Charleston	1,872
All ESSENTIAL	Wood County*	1,562
	Fayette County*	1,528
FACILITIES SHOULD BE	Randolph County*	1,268
FIELD VERIFIED	Greenbrier County*	1,182
	Marion County*	1,162
	Huntington**	1,148

Countywide Building Counts (Top 20)


				BUILD	ING TYPE		HIG	Floodplain		
Rank	County	Region #	% Resi- dential	% Com- mercial	% Other	% Unknown	Effective	Advisory	Total Bldg. Count	Building Ratio
1	KANAWHA	3	89%	7%	3%	1%	12,847	2,071	14,918	15%
2	LOGAN	2	87%	9%	3%	2%	4,539	939	5,478	30%
3	MCDOWELL	1	87%	5%	3%	5%	3,678	1,395	5,073	26%
4	BOONE	3	78%	6%	3%	13%	3,727	1,068	4,795	39%
5	MINGO	2	86%	5%	5%	4%	3,114	749	3,863	24%
6	OHIO	10	85%	9%	5%	0%	3,142	175	3,317	17%
7	WYOMING	1	90%	5%	4%	1%	2,019	1,145	3,164	24%
8	CABELL	2	86%	7%	5%	2%	2,368	518	2,886	7%
9	GREENBRIER	4	78%	8%	12%	2%	1,714	1,004	2,718	12%
10	RALEIGH	1	84%	8%	8%	1%	2,350	363	2,713	6%
11	LINCOLN	2	83%	3%	14%	1%	2,555	91	2,646	22%
12	WAYNE	2	89%	4%	5%	2%	2,421	219	2,640	12%
13	WOOD	5	87%	7%	6%	0%	2,463	103	2,566	6%
14	MERCER	1	89%	6%	4%	1%	2,295	206	2,501	7%
15	PUTNAM	3	85%	5%	10%	0%	2,066	384	2,450	9%
16	WETZEL	10	71%	7%	21%	1%	2,006	91	2,097	21%
17	MASON	2	67%	4%	27%	3%	1,859	95	1,954	13%
18	RANDOLPH	7	78%	7%	11%	4%	1,697	225	1,922	11%
19	HARRISON	6	80%	6%	13%	1%	1,475	409	1,884	5%
20	FAYETTE	4	85%	7%	7%	1%	1,254	551	1,805	7%

Primary buildings in the High-Risk effective and advisory 1%-annual chance (100-yr) floodplains


Top 5 Counties with **highest building counts**: Kanawha (14,918), Logan (5,478), and McDowell (5,073), Boone (4,795), and Mingo (3,683)

Top 5 counties with **highest percentage of countywide buildings in the high-risk floodplains**: Boone (39%), Logan (30%), McDowell (26%), Wyoming (24%), Mingo (24%), and Lincoln (22%)


Building Risk by Region




Residential % of Building Stock



Non-Residential Building Exposure




Residential: Top Single-Family \$ Exposure



Building Stock in Flood Zones

Although only 31% of the State has mapped Detailed Flood Zones (AE / AO / AH), the Detailed Flood Zones contain 65% of the Building Stock Located in SFHA. Most of the buildings are in mapped Detailed Flood Zones.



FLOOD HAZARD ZONES

- Stream Miles Length
- 69% Approximate A
- 31% Detailed Zones

Special Flood Hazard Area

- 84,351 buildings
- 35% in Approximate Zone A
- 65% in Detailed Zone AE (9% in Regulatory Floodway)


BUILDINGS IN NON-REGULATORY ZONES

- 13,966 Structures (14%) mapped in High-Risk Zone Advisory A / AE
- 98,317 Total High-Risk

BUILDINGS IN SHADED X

- Moderate Risk
- 44,415 structures in 500-YR floodplains
- 9,718 structures in Levee
 Protected Zones

Building Risk by Watershed



R4 Building Risk by Flood Source


Building Counts and Building Exposure \$ Values by Stream Name

	Building	Dollar						
Flood Sources	Count	Exposure (\$)						
FAYE	TTE COUNTY							
Armstrong Creek	275	\$13,334K						
Kanawha River	242	\$46,459K						
GREEN	IBRIER COUNT	Y						
Greenbrier River*	528	\$60,728K						
Howard Creek*	364	\$94,870K						
Sewell Creek*	333	\$14,716K						
Dry Creek	197	\$19,183K						
NICH	OLAS COUNTY							
Cherry River*	374	\$15,719K						
POCAH	ONTAS COUNT	ΓY						
Greenbrier River**	418	\$29,097K						
Knapp Creek	110	\$13,882K						
WEB	WEBSTER COUNTY							
Elk River	312	\$16,938K						
Gauley River**	106	\$8,420K						

Computed for 1% (100-yr) floodplain * 2016 Disaster Restudy

RA Tables: Buildings by River/Stream Name


Greenbrier River totals for Greenbrier and Pocahontas counties: **946 buildings** in 1% floodplain, **\$90M dollar exposure**



Region 4 PDF Map Primary Flood Sources

Zone A Building Cluster Analysis

Flood Map Discovery: Zone A conversion to Detailed Zone AE



Rank	1	2	3	4	5
BUILDING	Buckhannon	East Fork Twelvepole	Potomac	Shenandoah	Cacapon
COUNT	47	42	38	31	28
BUILDING	Shenandoah	Cheat	Buckhannon	Tygart Valley	Potomac
DOLLAR EXPOSURE	\$10.7M	\$3.1M	\$2.0M	\$2.0M	\$1.9M
BUILDING	Shenandoah	Cheat	Potomac	Buckhannon	Tygart Valley
DAMAGE LOSS	\$5.5M	\$1.7M	\$1.3M	\$1.3M	\$1.3M
DAMAGE ≥ 50%	Buckhannon	East Fork Twelvepole	Potomac	Shenandoah	Cacapon
DAIVIAGE 2 50%	44	38	35	25	25


Water Depth in Structure \geq *10 feet:* Flooding exceeds entire first floor of non-elevated structures

River/Stream Name	Flood Depth	Web Link	County	Flood	Hazard	Building
	Value (ft.)			Depth	Occupancy	Exposure
				Source	Code	(\$)
Shenandoah River	33.0	<u>FT</u>	JEFFERSON COUNTY	HEC-RAS	COM8	532,300
South Branch Potomac	28.5	<u>FT</u>	HARDY COUNTY	HEC-RAS	RES2	1,710
Gauley River	24.3	<u>FT</u>	FAYETTE COUNTY	HEC-RAS	RES1	9,000
Beech Fork	24.2	<u>FT</u>	WAYNE COUNTY	HEC-RAS	GOV1	496,266
New River	20.6	<u>FT</u>	FAYETTE COUNTY	HEC-RAS	RES1	18,100

Highest Building Flood Depth for Approximate A Zone Rivers/Streams (table extract). Sorted on building flood depth. Click on Flood Tool map link to view location.


Zone A Cluster Analysis

WV Flood Tool's Risk MAP View – Building Damage Loss Estimate Percent Layer: In the Risk MAP View of the WV Flood Tool, the risk assessment layer, Building Damage Loss Estimate (%), provides a relationship between high flood depths and flood loss estimates of substantially damaged structures (> 50% damage). High building-level damage percentages typically correlate to structures in Approximate A Zones with high base flood depths for a 1% annual chance flood.




Zone A Cluster Analysis

Shenandoah River (Harpers Ferry) Zone A Cluster A high-value wastewater treatment plant is associated with the high building exposure values of this Zone A cluster. Building 19-05-0004-0025-0000_744 has high-water marks on building side.



Potential Detailed Flood Studies – Kanawha Basin



Zone A Cluster PDF Tax Maps

Potential Detailed Flood Studies – Kanawha Basin


Rank	1	2	3	4	5	6
BUILDING	Marsh Fork	Little Birch River	West Fork	Big Horse Creek	Paint Creek	Blue Creek
COUNT	31	28	21	20	18	17
BUILDING	Pocatalico River	Little Birch River	Marsh Fork	Elk River	Big Horse Creek	West Fork
DOLLAR EXPOSURE	\$6.74M	\$1.61M	\$1.45M	\$1.18M	\$778K	\$682K
BUILDING	Pocatalico River	Little Birch River	West Fork	Marsh Fork	Big Horse Creek	Blue Creek
DAMAGE LOSS	\$867K	\$683K	\$460K	\$415K	\$264K	\$238K
DAMAGE ≥	West Fork	Marsh Fork	Little Birch River	Pocatalico River*	Big Horse Creek*	Blue Creek
50%	20	17	14	7	7	7
BUILDING DENISTY	West Fork	Marsh Fork	Crooked Creek	Little Birch River	Pocatalico River	Big Horse Creek
per mile	22.1	14.1	11.5	4.7	4.0	3.6
Zone AE Cost per	Crooked Creek	West Fork	Marsh Fork	Pocatalico River	Big Horse Creek	Little Birch River
mile	\$634	\$2,375	\$5,500	\$8,200	\$13,750	\$14,975

*Pocatalico River, Big Horse Creek, Blue Creek, and Paint Creek all have 7 structures with damage ≥ 50% Red stream names indicate less accurate HAZUS depth grids

WV Risk Assessment – Community Engagement

- Elevation Certificates
- Buyout Properties
- Mitigated Structure Verification
- Potential Structures for Mitigation
- SFHA Outreach Letters to Property Owners
- Preload Structures in FEMA SDE Software

Buyout Properties - Verification



https://mapwv.gov/flood/map/?wkid=102100&x=-8929946&y=4721378&l=10&v=2

Elevation Certificate of Mitigated Structure

Elevated Building on Solid Foundation Walls (Full-Story)








DIAGRAM 7

All buildings elevated on full-story foundation walls with a partially or fully enclosed area below the elevated floor. This includes walkout levels, where at least 1 side is at or above grade. The principal use of this building is located in the elevated floors of the building.

Distinguishing Feature – For all zones, the area below the elevated floor is enclosed, either partially or fully. In A Zones, the partially or fully enclosed area below the elevated floor is with or without openings** present in the walls of the enclosure. Indicate information about enclosure size and openings in Section A – Property Information.



08-06-0006-0058-0001

Mitigated Structure – EC Bldg. #6


Building Diagram 6: Elevated Building with Enclosure (using piers, piles, posts)



DIAGRAM 6

All buildings elevated on piers, posts, piles, columns, or parallel shear walls with full or partial enclosure below the elevated floor.


Distinguishing Feature – For all zones, the area below the elevated floor is enclosed, either partially or fully. In A Zones, the partially or fully enclosed area below the elevated floor is with or without openings** present in the walls of the enclosure. Indicate information about enclosure size and openings in Section A – Property Information.



Partial Enclosure

Mitigated Structure – EC First Floor Height - #7









https://www.mapwv.gov/flood/map/?wkid=102100&x=-9056061&y=4648497&l=12&v=1

Potential Structures for Wet Floodproofing



Potential Structures for Wet Floodproofing



Community Risk Assessment Data Verification

Use Building-Level (BL) Tables to identify Most Vulnerable Structures

- Statewide BLRA (GIS)
- <u>BLRA County Tables</u> organized by region
- <u>BLRA Data Extract Tables</u>: High Building Value, High Damage Loss, High Minus Ratings
- <u>BLRA Statewide Top Lists</u>: Building Value, Flood Depth, Damage Loss \$, Damage Loss %, Minus Rated, Mitigated Structures
- <u>Risk Indicator Matrices</u>: Exposure and Damage Loss Matrices of Risk Indicators









Preload Structures into SDE


Incorporate 1% Floodplain Building Risk Assessment Inventory into **Mitigation** and **NFIP/CRS Management** Activities

STEP 1: Community **preloads Floodplain Properties** into FEMA's Substantial Damage Estimator software



	Structure Owner Name +	Property Address	County/Parish	Parcel Number	Lot Number	Subdivision	Year of Construction
	MICHAEL GARFIELD SE	1836 RORER Road	Greenbrier	13-04-055E-0010-0000	13-04-055E-0010-0000	Greenbrier County	1960
	MICHAEL J HONAKER	381 INGLESIDE Avenue	Greenbrier	13-17-0012-0084-0000	13-17-0012-0084-0000	White Sulphur Springs	1930
	MICHAEL / SMITH	156 MAPLE AVE	Greenbrier	13-01-0006-0358-0000	13-01-0006-0358-0000	Alderson	2020
,	MICHAEL K ELTZROTH	334 DREWERY Avenue	Greenbrier	13-17-0009-0343-0000	13-17-0009-0393-0000	White Sulphur Springs	1918
	MICHAEL L ARBOGAST	396 HATFIELD HOLLO	Greenbrier	13-02-0029-0022-0001	13-02-0029-0022-0001	Greenbrier County	1991
	MICHAEL LEE ET ALS B	6886 TUCKAHOE RD	Greenbrier	13-16-0036-0002-0000	13-16-0036-0002-0000	Greenbrier County	1972
	MICHAEL LEE ET ALS 8	0 TUCKAHOE Road	Greenbrier	13-16-0036-0002-0000	13-16-0036-0002-0000	Greenbrier County	1972
	MICHAEL PAUL TRAINER	156 FIFTH Avenue	Greenbrier	13-02-0358-0067-0000	13-02-0358-0067-0000	Greenbrier County	1990
	MICHAEL ROBERT ET AL.	349 GREENBRIER Aven.	Greenbrier	13-17-0011-0037-0000	13-17-0011-0007-0000	White Sulphur Springs	1957
	MICHAEL SCOTT HILL	651 RED ROCK Trail	Greenbrier	13-06-0019-0001-0000	13-06-0019-0001-0000	Greenbrier County	1999
	MICHAEL TOLLEY	324 RIVER EDGE Lane	Greenbrier	13-06-024D-0003-0000	13-06-024E-0003-0000	Greenbrier County	1987
	MICHAEL W BRACKENR	310 MILL CREEK Road	Greenbrier	13-11-055C-0050-0000	13-11-055C-0050-0000	Greenbrier County	1900
	MICHAEL W CARRINGT	252 HOLMES Lane	Greenbrier	13-17-0012-0021-0001	13-17-0012-0021-0001	White Sulphur Springs	1999
	MICHAEL W CARRINGT	262 HOLMES Lane	Greenbrier	13-17-0012-0047-0000	13-17-0012-0047-0000	White Sulphur Springs	1999
	MICHAEL W II SIMS	425 6TH Street	Greenbrier	13-13-0005-0490-0000	13-13-0005-0490-0000	Rainelle	1975
	MICHAEL W SHOCKEY	274 MOUNTAIN Avenue	Greenbrier	13-17-0009-0299-0000	13-17-0009-0298-0000	White Sulphur Springs	1921
	MICHEAL WAID	267 UTTLE CREEK Road	Greenbrier	13-02-0040-0028-0000	13-02-0040-0028-0000	Greenbrier County	1970
	MICHELLE A DRON	153 WOODLAND Ave	Greenbrier	13-17-0011-0011-0000	13-17-0011-0011-0000	White Sulphur Springs	1964
	MICHELLE L DRENNEN	191 MEADOW RIVER	Greenbrier	13-11-055F-0039-0000	13-11-055F-0038-0000	Greenbrier County	1973

STEP 2: Community performs practice **Substantial Damage Assessments** for Residential and Non-Residential Properties



SDE Upload Files and Instructions

Greenbrier County has 2,225 Structures that can be uploaded

SFHA Map Change Letters

Local Officials Toolkit

What to Do Before and After Your Flood Maps are Finalized

🛞 FEMA



City of White Sulphur Springs

two paragraphs for demonstration purposes.

Date: 10/14/2021

Dear SMITH JOHN:

White Sulphur Springs has 68 buildings being This letter is a test to show the use of mail merge an mapped into the SFHA copied the first two paragraphs from the Local Offic

A multi-year project to re-examine City of White Sulphur Springs's flood zones and develop detailed digital flood hazard maps has been completed. The new maps, also known as Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs), were just released for public view. The new maps reflect current flood risk based on the latest data and a more accurate understanding of our area's topography. As a result, you and other property owners throughout GREENBRIER COUNTY will have up-to-date, Internet-accessible information about flood risk to your property.

How will these changes affect you?

Based on the new maps, your property is being mapped into a higher risk flood zone, known as the Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA). If you have a mortgage from a federally regulated lender and your property is in the SFHA, you are required by Federal law to carry flood insurance when these flood maps are put into effect. We recommend that you use this time to contact your insurance agent to get the most favorable rate and learn about options offered by the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) for properties being mapped into higher risk areas for the first time.

You can find your property on the WV Flood tool in one of two ways: first, you can go to the following link in a web browser: https://mapwv.gov/flood/map/?wkid=102100&x=-8939196.678447664&y=4550352.316266677&l=13&v=2. Or, you can go to https://mapwv.gov/ map and enter your address, 177 PATTERSON ST, WHITE SULPHUR SPRINGS, WV, 24986, in the search bar.

Your property is within the Howard Creek flood zone and has a flood depth of 1.0 feet. Its FIRM status is Pre-FIRM.

Mail Merge Template for SFHA Mapped-in Structures


- Hardy County **Risk MAP**
- Kanawha County - \mathbf{O} **Elk River PMR**
- **Greenbrier County Risk MAP**

Detailed Flood Studies


Greenbrier County Study

- Rainelle
- White Sulphur Springs

WV Flood Tool's Risk Map View




Community Flood Risk Dashboard





FEMA 10% Annual Chance (10-year)

Rainelle, WV




FEMA 4% Annual Chance (25-year) Rainelle, WV 0 ft. 00 800 FLOOD DEPTH 35 ft. Créek Sewell en cr Non-Residential Residential 71% probability of flooding at least once over 30 years






FEMA 0.2% Annual Chance (500-year)

Rainelle, WV



Mitigation Reconstruction (Rainelle, WV)



Flood Visualization 4 feet



First Floor Height ABOVE: 1% Chance (100-yr) flood

Flood Visualization 7 feet



First Floor Height ABOVE: 2016 Flood High Water Mark

Flood Visualization 10 feet



First Floor Height BELOW: 0.2% Chance (500-yr) Flood Models

Pre-Disaster 2016 Aerial View

White Sulphur Springs Imagery of June 2016



Structures Removed Post-Disaster

White Sulphur Springs Imagery of June 2016



Buyout Parcels (Deed Restricted)

White Sulphur Springs Imagery of Oct. 2019



Non-Mitigated Repaired Structures

White Sulphur Springs Imagery of Oct. 2019



Substantially Damaged Structure Repaired

Central Ave


Big Draft Rd

Big Draft Rd

Google earth



Image courtesy of Google Earth Pro & Google Street View



fulle Treff

Mitigated Reconstruction

White Sulphur Springs Imagery of Oct. 2019




Mitigated and Non-Mitigated Properties

White Sulphur Springs Imagery of Oct. 2019



Flood Mitigation Map



Damaged or Demolished Buildings

- 2016 Substantially Damaged Structure (Field SDE Surveys)¹ (n=89)
- Structure Removed Post-2016 Flood (n=49)

Mitigated Properties

- Mitigation Reconstruction to DFE* (n=14)
- Mitigation Reconstruction not to DFE* (n=1)
- Elevation Certificate (n=12)
- Acquisition/Buyout Property (n=16)
- Open Space Preservation (Community-Owned)*** (n=66)

Unmitigated Properties

- Low Building Value (n=14)
- Repaired Structure** (n=407)

Significant Structures

- EF Essential Facility (n=2)
- CA Community Asset (n=8)


Vulnerable Structures

- Manufactured Home (n=4)
- Subgrade Basement (Full & Part)


Legend

Мар

Areas of Mitigation (AoMI) Interest



Community Recovery: Building Value Recovery



White Sulphur Springs (n=409)22.6 Million23.0 Million13.4 Million21.5 Million22.4 Million22.9 Million26.2 Million29.2 Million