## LANDSLIDE RISK ASSESSMENT DELIVERABLES

**Table L-1**. LANDSLIDE RISK ASSESSMENT Products and Deliverables

| Task                               | Task Description  | Goal            |
|------------------------------------|---|-----------------|
| Task Landslide Inventory           | TASK 1: [LANDSLIDE INVENTORY] — A statewide landslide incident inventory from various sources: WV GES, WV DOT, USGS, FEMA landslide buy-out properties, etc.  Inventoried 205,442 landslide features from LiDAR mapping and historical landslide data collections. (UPDATED 2023)  LiDAR Mapping  116,399 landslide points mapped using high resolution (1- or 2-m) LiDAR.  100,469 Landslides mapped using high resolution FEMA 1-meter QL2 LiDAR  15,920. Landslides mapped from other LiDAR sources but verified with newer FEMA 1-meter LiDAR sources.  Other Sources  89,903 from historical and other sources  46,330 landslide polygons digitized based on WV Geological and Economic Survey 1976 study.  41,307 landslide polygons digitized based on a USGS 1975-1985 study.  Other studies and 2016 WV DOT points (n=1,406)  FEMA landslide buyout properties  LiDAR Mapping: Most common landslides mapped were slides and | Goal<br>Goal L1 |
|                                    | <ul> <li>LiDAR Mapping: Most common landslides mapped were slides and slumps (97%). Landslide locations were mapped throughout West Virginia using LiDAR elevation data products, including <a href="https://hillshade">hillshade</a> and <a href="https://hillshade">slopeshade</a> grids. Mapped failure types included slide, debris flow, lateral spread, multiple failures (when several failures were present in a small area, but were too small or close together to map separately), rock falls, and undetermined failure type. The nature of the West Virginia landscape and the LiDAR imagery limited mapping to landslides at least 33 feet wide.</li> <li>FUTURE DIRECTIONS: Landslide mapping of areas where LiDAR coverage was incomplete; LiDAR for these areas was delivered by FEMA in fall 2021.</li> </ul>  |                 |
| Landslide<br>Method<br>Development | <ul> <li>TASK 2: [LANDSLIDE METHOD DEVELOPMENT] – Methodology and validation of landslide susceptibility models</li> <li>Created a statewide landslide susceptibility map         <ul> <li>Performed using machine learning of which the "Random Forest" method was determined to be the most efficient.</li> <li>Performed for various Major Land Resource Areas (MLRA) to minimize heterogeneity in physiographic conditions that may influence landslide susceptibility.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>  | Goal L2         |

| Task                     | Task Description   | Goal    |
|--------------------------|--|---------|
|                          | <ul> <li>Main Landslide contributing factors: Slope, soil type, and geology. Steeper slopes, unconsolidated soils, and less resistant rock units like shale and siltstone will increase landslide susceptibility.</li> <li>Anthropogenic disturbances contribute heavily to landslide risk</li> <li>FUTURE WORK: Rerun models after new LiDAR-based landslide mapping is complete.</li> <li>Study Team. The West Virginia University Study Team included Dr. Steve Kite (Geomorphologist), Dr. James Thompson (Soil Scientist), Dr. Aaron Maxwell (Geologist/Modeler), and Dr. Maneesh Sharma (Geologist/GIS).</li> <li>Methodology: Site characteristics and terrain variables, such as slope, lithology, soil type, and distance to roads and streams, were extracted</li> </ul> |         |
|                          | from the mapped landslide locations. Using a random forest machine learning algorithm, these variables were used as inputs to calculate a probabilistic landslide susceptibility grid. A majority of the mapped landslide locations were used to train the model, and the remaining locations were used to validate the model's accuracy. The resulting grid cells were classified into low, medium, and high susceptibility areas using professional judgement and model statistics. On average, over 95% of known failure locations were found to occur within the modeled high susceptibility areas (Maxwell et al., 2020).   |         |
|                          | Regional Models: Landslide susceptibility was modeled by Major Land Resource Area (MLRA). Models were generated for each MLRA in West Virginia to take advantage of similarities in physiographic conditions that may influence landslide susceptibility.  |         |
|                          | Landslide Predictors: The most important predictors of landside susceptibility include topographic variables such as slope angle, slope curvature, and topographic roughness.  |         |
|                          | Published Research Paper: "Assessing the Generalization of Machine Learning-Based Slope Failure Prediction to New Geographic Extents"  |         |
| County level             | TASK 3: [COUNTY LEVEL LANDSLIDE MAP AND REPORT GENERATION] -   | Goal L3 |
| landslide map and report | Generation of landslide County maps  |         |
| generation               | <ul> <li>55 County Landslide Susceptibility Maps. Created landslide susceptibility maps for all 55 counties. Susceptibility is classified according to low, medium, and high probability of slope failure.         <ul> <li>Low Risk: 0-30% probability of slope failure</li> <li>Medium: 30-70% probability of slope failure</li> <li>High: 70-100% probability of slope failure</li> </ul> </li> <li>Map Limitations. The map is for informational purposes regarding landslide susceptibility at the county scale. It may not be used to identify susceptibility and site specific locations. To address susceptibility at a sub county scale, geotechnical evaluations should</li> </ul>   |         |

| Task   | Task Description  | Goal    |
|--|---|---------|
|  | <ul> <li>be performed by professional engineers or geologists. This map is not to be used for regulatory use.</li> <li>Reports. Created a statewide and 11 regional landslide reports in support of local and state hazard mitigation plans.</li> <li>Landslide Risk Assessment Results. (Refer to the landslide risk assessment reports and tables for more information).</li> <li>Risk assessment performed at sub-county scale</li> <li>53% area in high/medium susceptibility. Note that areas of low susceptibility may be downslope of high/medium susceptibility areas and thus at risk.</li> <li>11% roads in high/medium risk</li> <li>Structures- majority located in high/medium landslide susceptibility area are Residential buildings</li> <li>Kanawha and Monongalia counties rank 1st and 2nd</li> <li>Harrison and Ohio counties rank 1st and 2nd for Commercial asset values</li> <li>Essential Facilities – 14 located in high/medium susceptibility area</li> <li>Relative risk to humans and related infrastructure is highest in Region 6, which ranks either 1st or 2nd in all five road and structure risk analysis categories</li> </ul> |         |
| Web<br>Application                           | <ul> <li>TASK 4: [WEB APPLICATION] – Interactive web application of landslide incidents and susceptibility zones</li> <li>Created interactive web applications for viewing known landslide incidence and susceptibility in West Virginia         <ul> <li>WV Landslide Tool</li> <li>WV Flood Tool (RiskMAP View)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>  | Goal L4 |
| Update State<br>Hazard<br>Mitigation<br>Plan | <ul> <li>TASK 5: [UPDATE STATE PLAN] – Update State Hazard mitigation plan</li> <li>Created various landslide risk assessment products in support of local and state hazard mitigation plans.</li> <li>Statewide landslide incident and susceptibility maps</li> <li>Risk assessments performed at the community-level scale for roads, structures/parcels (building dollar exposure), essential facilities, and total area.</li> </ul>   | Goal L5 |
| Product<br>Summary                           | SUMMARY OF KEY RISK LANDSLIDE ASSESSMENT PRODUCTS:  Reports and Maps:  Regional and Statewide Landslide Risk Assessment Reports  County Scale Landslide Susceptibility Maps for all 55 counties  Landslide Characteristics by 5 MLRA Regions  |         |

| Task | Task Description  | Goal |
|------|---|------|
|      | Web Tools showing Landslide Incidents and Susceptibility:   |      |
|      | WV Landslide Tool   |      |
|      | WV Flood Tool (RiskMAP View)  |      |
|      | Published Methodology Paper: Assessing the Generalization of Machine Learning-Based Slope Failure Prediction to New Geographic Extents  |      |
|      | Landslide Risk Directory: <u>Directory</u> of <u>reports</u> , <u>susceptibility maps</u> , <u>educational brochures</u> , <u>methodology papers</u> , <u>GIS data</u> , <u>community risk assessment tables</u> , <u>graphics</u> , etc. |      |
|      | Outreach Materials:   |      |
|      | Brochures  Consequeits Minimatica Landelida Bial Abancala Blancia.  |      |
|      | <ul> <li>Community: Mitigating Landslide Risk through Planning</li> <li>Homeowner: Recognizing Landslide Risk on Your Property</li> </ul>   |      |
|      | Story Maps  |      |
|      | Causes of Landslides in Mountain State  |      |
|      | o WV Landslides and Slide-Prone Areas, WVGES 1976   |      |
|      | Presentations:  Landslide Risk Assessment (April 2022) PDF   PPTX  GSA Poster Kite et al. (2021) PDF   PPTX   |      |