## **Mitigation Measures**

| Category                         | Mitigation Indicator   | White<br>Sulphur<br>Springs | Rainelle           |
|----------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--------------------|
|                                  | Elevated Structures to Design Flood Elevation (DFE)                      | 217                         | 87                 |
| Mitigated<br>Structures          | Rehabilitated/Repaired Structures  | 394                         | 278                |
|                                  | Unmitigated Low Value Structures   | 14                          | 47                 |
|                                  | Structures Removed (vacant parcel)                                       | 49                          | 41                 |
| Open Space<br>Preservation       | Buyout Parcels (Deed Restricted)   | 16                          | 18                 |
|                                  | Community-Owned Vacant Parcels   | 66                          | 88                 |
|                                  | Area of Open Space Preservation (OSP)                                    | 5 Acres                     | 3 Acres            |
|                                  | Ratio of Open Space Preservation (OSP to SFHA)                           | 2.6%                        | 4.5%               |
| Building Value<br>Recovery       | Net Value 2016-2022 Tax Assessment Value                                 | + \$6.1<br>Million          | - \$1.0<br>Million |
| Loss Avoidance<br>100-year Flood | Loss Avoidance by Elevating or Removing Structures (preliminary results) | \$2.6 million               | \$2.3<br>million   |

## Mitigation Measures (continued)

| Category                       | Mitigation Indicator   | White<br>Sulphur<br>Springs | Rainelle |
|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|----------|
| Resiliency to<br>Future Floods | Percent Residential Structures in 100-year floodplain elevated to Design Flood Elevation (DFE) | 59%                         | 35%      |
| Floodplain<br>Management       | Freeboard (safety elevation factor above BFE)  | 2 ft.                       | 2 ft.    |
|                                | Community Rating System (above min. requirements)  | No                          | No       |
|                                | Incorporated Place a compacted floodplain<br>management area to enforce floodplain ordinance   | Yes                         | Yes      |
|                                | Continuity of operations and immediate response to disasters                                   | ?                           | ?        |
|                                | Record keeping (permits, EC's, substantial damage)   | Yes                         | ?        |
| Flood Insurance                | Number of Policies 2023  | 67                          | 36       |
| Risk<br>Communications         | Flood Risk Disclosure Laws in West Virginia  | F grade                     | F grade  |
|                                | Outreach to property owners about changes to flood maps (mapped in/mapped out of SFHA)         | Pending                     | Pending  |

# Floodplain Building Value Recovery



| COMMUNITY                     | 2015         | 2016         | 2017         | 2018         | 2019         | 2020         | 2021         | 2022         |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Rainelle (n=326)              | 13.1 Million | 13.3 Million | 5.0 Million  | 9.4 Million  | 11.0 Million | 11.1 Million | 11.3 Million | 12.3 Million |
| White Sulphur Springs (n=409) | 22.6 Million | 23.0 Million | 13.4 Million | 21.5 Million | 22.4 Million | 22.9 Million | 26.2 Million | 29.2 Million |

Source: Tax assessment database. May not include values for tax exempt properties.

# **Rainelle Relocation Community**



# **WSS Relocation Community**



# **Rainelle Mitigated Properties**



## **WSS Mitigated Properties**



# **Building Design Flood Elevation**



# **Building Design Flood Elevation**



# **Rainelle Mitigation Reconstruction**

## First Floor Height ABOVE 2016 Flood HWM; 1-percent chance (100-yr) flood

### First Floor Height BELOW 1%+, 0.2-percent chance (500-yr) floods



FLOOD DEPTHS:



First Street Foundation (FSF)

USGS 2016 Flood High Water Mark

# **WSS** Mitigation Reconstruction

### First Floor Height (FFH) ABOVE 1-percent chance (100-yr) flood

### First Floor BELOW 2016 HWM; 1%+,0.2-percent chance (500-yr) floods



FLOOD DEPTHS:



First Street Foundation (FSF)

USGS 2016 Flood High Water Mark

## New Streamgages in White Sulphur Springs





# New Streamgages in Rainelle







## **Thousand Year Flood?**

#### NEWS

Former Mayor of White Sulphur Springs reflects on Thousand Year Flood as the five year anniversary approaches



by: <u>Claudia Sessa</u> Posted: May 5, 2021 / 04:13 PM EDT Updated: May 5, 2021 / 11:17 PM EDT

#### TOP STORIES BECKLEY

White Sulphur Springs remembers 2016 as flooding strikes southern WV

<u>Rivers Upchurch</u> ted: Jul 27, 2022 / 07:35 PM EDT lated: Jul 27, 2022 / 07:35 PM EDT





Inside Appalachia: WV's 1,000 Year Flood

#### "Inside Appalachia: WV's 1000 Year Flood" – WV Public Broadcasting



Some websites like <u>MH3WV</u> clarify it as a 1,000-year rainfall event according to the NWS

"The National Weather Service called the June 2016 flooding in southern West Virginia an exceptional meteorological event, a vicious line-up of storms that came in simultaneously from the northeast and the southeast. Almost 8 inches of rain fell in some spots in just 12 to 18 hours. That amount of rain in such a short time period is something expected once in 1,000 years, according to the NWS.

## Damage (2016 Flood) and Mitigation Central Ave., White Sulphur Springs



## Damage (2016 Flood) and Mitigation Freeland Ave., White Sulphur Springs



# Removed Structures (2016 Flood) and Mitigation 7<sup>th</sup> St, Rainelle



# Removed Structures (2016 Flood) and Mitigation Greenbrier Ave., Rainelle



# Examples of Mitigation Reconstruction in White Sulphur Springs



Building ID: 13-17-0009-0009-0000\_148 <u>Flood Tool Link</u>



Building ID: 13-17-0009-0009-0000\_148 Flood Tool Link



Building ID: 13-17-0008-0152-0000\_195 Flood Tool Link

# Example of Mitigation Reconstruction in Rainelle



Building ID: 13-13-0005-0165-0000\_256 Flood Tool Link



Building ID: 13-13-0001-0069-0000\_108 Flood Tool Link



#### Building ID: 13-13-0001-0054-0000\_182 Flood Tool Link

# Unmitigated Example in White Sulphur Springs

### First Floor Height (FFH) BELOW FEMA 1-percent chance (100-yr) flood

### First Floor BELOW 2016 HWM; 1%+,0.2-percent chance (500-yr) floods



Building 13-17-0008-0139-0000 220

FLOOD DEPTHS:



First Street Foundation (FSF)

USGS 2016 Flood High Water Mark

# Unmitigated Example in Rainelle

### First Floor Height (FFH) BELOW FEMA 1-percent chance (100-yr) flood

### First Floor BELOW 2016 HWM; 1%+,0.2-percent chance (500-yr) floods



FLOOD DEPTHS:



First Street Foundation (FSF)

Building <u>13-13-0001-0047-0000</u> <u>166</u> USGS 2016 Flood High Water Mark

## **Partial Mitigation Examples**



184 Central Venue, White Sulphur Springs, WV, 24986

190 Crescent Avenue, White Sulphur Springs, WV, 24986

## Criteria, Rationale, and Data Sources

| Mitigation Indicator                                   | Criteria   | Rationale   | Data Source  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| Elevated Structures to Design Flood Elevation<br>(DFE) |  | A comprehensive inventory of  | Elevation certificates,  |  |
| Rehabilitated/Repaired Structures                      | Number of newly Constructed or remodeled structures elevated to Design Flood Elevation (DFE) (2 ft above the   | more accurate building level risk<br>assessments and shows how<br>communities have applied flood<br>adaptive measures in response to<br>major flood events.   | 7" rise, cinder block 8"),<br>and major post-disaster<br>mitigation<br>reconstruction projects<br>(1977 and 2016 floods) |  |
| Unmitigated Low Value Structures                       | BFE) on open or closed foundations   |   |  |  |
| Structures Removed (vacant parcel)                     |  |   | ( ,  |  |
| Buyout Parcels   | Number of verified buyout land parcels located within  | Buyout properties can prevent<br>loss of life and property damage.  | Data of Natural  |  |
| Community-Owned Vacant Parcels                         | floodplains that experience frequent flooding and<br>damage due to flood events altered, purchased, or have<br>deed restrictions placed upon them by FEMA or other<br>agencies   | Property owners/communities<br>with public lands in floodplains<br>are compensated for their land,<br>and the land usually becomes<br>public green space or restored to<br>its natural floodplain function. | Resources Conservation<br>Service (NRCS) to<br>identify the verified<br>buyout parcels with<br>floodplain easements      |  |
| Area of Open Space Preservation (OSP)                  | Area of preserved open spaces with no existing<br>buildings or structures, filling, large pavement, or other<br>encroachment to flood flows located in the<br>community's regulatory floodplains including the SFHA<br>as shown on the community's Flood Insurance Rate<br>Map (FIRM) attached with a signed statement by a<br>public or creditable private owner or some regulations<br>on the parcel preventing from construction, fillings, or<br>other encroachments on flood flows in the future. | Open Space Preservation restores<br>the floodplain to its natural<br>function and provides<br>opportunities for credits from<br>FEMA's Community Rating<br>System (CRS).                                    | Data of Natural<br>Resources Conservation<br>Service (NRCS) for<br>buyout properties &<br>FEMA FIRM (2012 &<br>2022)     |  |
| Ratio of Open Space Preservation (OSP to SFHA)         | Ratio of the preserved open spaces in the impact<br>adjusted Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) (after<br>removing waterbodies larger than 10 acres in addition<br>to the federally owned lands).  |   |  |  |

## Criteria, Rationale, and Data Sources

| Mitigation Indicator   | Criteria   | Rationale   | Data Source  |
|--|--|---|--|
| Net Value 2016-2022 Tax<br>Assessment Value  | Net cumulative tax assessment of floodplain<br>building values pre- and post-disaster  | Reflect the financial worth and investment in the properties. And influence insurance rates and financial considerations  | Tax assessments  |
| Loss Avoidance by Elevating<br>Structures  | Difference between loss estimates in communities<br>using the Hazus model with the first floor height of<br>1 ft (not elevated) and elevated to DFE (2 ft above<br>BFE) or removed   | With significant investment being made in mitigation by<br>elevating, demonstrating cost-effectiveness is crucial<br>for continued support. Loss Avoidance Studies (LAS)<br>quantify the losses avoided (also known as damage<br>prevented or benefits) due to the implementation of<br>the projects. | BLRA of 10/19/2022<br>(based on 2022 tax<br>assessment), Total<br>Exposure in Floodplain<br>(TEIF), Building percent<br>damage estimate values,<br>Depth grids                 |
| Percent Structures Elevated<br>to DFE in Total Residential<br>Buildings                            | Percentage of the elevated structures in the total residential buildings in SFHA   | A comprehensive inventory of mitigated structures<br>results in more accurate building level risk assessments<br>and shows how communities have applied flood<br>adaptive measures in response to major flood events.   | Elevation certificates,<br>building pictures (step 7"<br>rise, cinder block 8"), and<br>major post-disaster<br>mitigation reconstruction<br>projects (1977 and 2016<br>floods) |
| Freeboard (safety elevation<br>factor above BFE)   | Considering an extra height margin over the BFE<br>(Two feet above BFE in WV)  | Create a buffer zone that allows for a greater tolerance<br>to fluctuations in flood levels, allows for greater<br>adaptability to changing conditions and reduces the<br>need for costly retrofitting or reconstruction in the<br>future.  | Protect structures from<br>waves, debris,<br>miscalculations or lack of<br>data, and changing<br>weather patterns.   |
| Community Rating System<br>(above min. requirements)   | If community is not currently participating in CRS,<br>Overview Report were recorded as " in CRS" or if<br>the community has an enrollment application,<br>indication of a CRS Enrollment Application  | Voluntarily willing to adopt higher standards indicates a<br>community's interest in mitigation. Communities with a<br>lower CRS class are ranked higher than those with a<br>higher CRS class, or without a CRS class.   | Community Information<br>System (CIS)  |
| Incorporated Place a<br>compacted floodplain<br>management area to<br>enforce floodplain ordinance | Incorporated place should have a designated<br>floodplain area, a comprehensive floodplain<br>ordinance in place that outlines the regulations and<br>requirements for development, construction, and<br>land use within the floodplain, and a legal authority<br>to enforce the floodplain ordinance. | Protecting the community, minimizing flood risks,<br>promoting public safety, reducing property damage,<br>and building long-term resilience.   | Floodplain Maps, and<br>Hydrological and<br>Hydraulic Studies  |

## Criteria, Rationale, and Data Sources

| Mitigation Indicator  | Criteria   | Rationale   | Data Source  |
|---|--|---|--|
| Continuity of operations and<br>immediate response to disasters                                 | Protect facilities, equipment and records, and facilitate a quickly and orderly recovery   | Continuity Plan is the roadmap for the implementation<br>and management of the Continuity Program. An effort<br>within individual executive departments and agencies to<br>ensure that Primary Mission Essential Functions (PMEFs)<br>continue to be performed during a wide range of<br>emergencies  | FEMA & Federal<br>Executive<br>Branch<br>Continuity of<br>Operations |
| Record keeping (permits, EC's,<br>substantial damage)   | Obtaining certain documentation and maintaining<br>complete permit records such as: The permit<br>application form and all attachments, Documentation<br>of the SI/SD determination, Community letter<br>documenting the SI/SD determination, Floodway<br>encroachment analyses, Records of inspections of the<br>project while under construction, Designs for<br>breakaway walls around enclosures, Variance<br>proceedings, Record of final inspections, and<br>Certification of the elevation.                       | Checklists are used during plan reviews to ensure that the<br>necessary flood damage-resistant provisions have been<br>thoroughly assessed. Also, inspection checklists enhance<br>the consistency of inspections and aids in the verification<br>of compliance with flood damage-resistant requirements.<br>They are valuable tools to systematically assess the<br>implementation of appropriate measures for mitigating<br>flood damage. | Inspections?   |
| Number of Policies  | Number of flood insurance policies in force in the community   | Although higher number of policies in force can equate to a riskier area, it can show more mitigation policies in force.  | FEMA NFIP<br>Policy<br>Information by<br>State 2023                  |
| Flood Risk Disclosure Laws in<br>West Virginia  | States have the ability to protect home buyers within<br>their jurisdiction by enacting legislation and<br>implementing practices that mandate the disclosure<br>of flood risk. In fact, states with robust requirements<br>for disclosing flood risk often observe higher rates of<br>residential flood insurance adoption. When a larger<br>number of individuals and families at risk are covered<br>by flood insurance, communities can recover more<br>expeditiously and comprehensively following flood<br>events. | West Virginia is among the states that do not have any<br>types of flood disclosure requirements, while it is a timely<br>and effective way to enable homeowners to make better<br>risk-informed investment decisions.  |  |
| Outreach to property owners<br>about changes to flood maps<br>(mapped in/mapped out of<br>SFHA) | When flood maps are updated, some structures may<br>be in higher or lower risk of flood than before. If they<br>are newly identified as being in a high-risk flood area,<br>then the NFIP offers <b>a cost-saving flood insurance</b>  | This change may affect requirement and cost of flood<br>insurance. NFIP offers a cost-saving flood insurance rating<br>option know as Grandfathering. Grandfathering allows<br>property owners to "lock in" the lower risk flood zone or  |  |